

Noncommutative deformation and essence of a postulate of isotropy Iliusin

Trinh V.K.

Department of physics of polymer and crystal, MGU

1. We shall consider non-uniform continuous environment. It is known, that under influence limiting force this environment will pass in a plastic status. To the present time many models of plasticity with different determining ratio. One of phenomenology approaches to these models is that from microstructural mechanism it is reasonable to keep only main and to correct determining ratio by the data of experiments. However, all experiments on plasticity show, that in a plastic status the field of deformation and stress has strong fluctuation. Besides the diagram $\sigma = f(e)$ for a stress and deformation is similar to the diagram $P = f(V)$ for pressure and volume of liquid [1], and in [2-4] the phase transition in a end of a crack was already observed. So, we can consider process of plastic deformation critical (phase transition), in which the structure is spontaneously formed [5]. Density of distributions of probability of transition to plasticity is the solution of the equations Fokker - Planck. However, taking into account potential character of process of deformation, instead of the equation Fokker - Planck we can use the equation Schrodinger. It means, that process of plastic deformations can consider as process with supersymmetry. Here tensor of deformation is considered as the parameter of order. In work [6] A.A. Iliusin has presented on discussion of konseption of trajectory of deformation in the space E_5 . Actually, the space E_5 -it is layer in bundles of deformation [5]. It means, that a field of deformation we can consider as a gauge field, in which the generalized trajectory of deformation Iliusin is a section of a bundles of deformation. With the help of the identification of generalized trajectory of deformation with a knots we have shown topological characters of process of deformations connected with invariant Witten. Thus, we have received density of distributions

of probability of transition to plasticity [6]

$$Z = \int \exp[-kS_{cs}(e)]\mathcal{D}e,$$

here k – it factor of elasticity, S_{cs} – action Chern - Simomse as

$$S_{cs} = \int \Gamma_{cs},$$

where Γ_{cs} - form Chern - Simonse for variety of deformation.

2. The space of deformation $P = \{E_n(x, t)\}$, with most general points of view, is noncommutative. A. Connes in work [7] has constructed noncommutative geometry, in which instead of researches of character of point space it is considered properties of a ring of function. Further we shall build model plastic deformation within the framework of this geometry. It means, that we should construct noncommutative bundles of deformation [8]. Two basic objects in geometry Connes are associative algebra A and universal algebra of the forms $\Omega(A)$ over algebra A . Here space of deformation $P = \{E_n(x, t)\}$ plays a role of associative algebra. The following problem is choice of universal algebra Connes. Because of that, each choice of this algebra there corresponds the certain type of differential calculations, in work [8] we have chosen $\Omega(P)$ in quality of algebras Hoof, using, thus, differential calculation such as Woronowicz. It is known, that space of deformation $P = \{E_n\}$ is associative algebra, in frameworks of which can be determined derivative and the differential form. So, we choose universal algebra Connes $\Omega(P)$ as algebra of the differential forms over the space of deformation P . Thus, differential calculation on $\Omega_D(P)$ has a type similar to such in work [9], according to which least differential algebra of algebras of cocycle $C(Der(P), P)$, containing space P , is $\Omega_D(P)$, being algebra $C(Der(P), P)$, where $Der(P)$ - algebra of derivative on P . In the space $P = \{E_n\}$ the basis $\{1, E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n^2-1}, n+1 \dots 9\}$ is defined. We consider P Hermite space with the following commutative rules

$$E_k E_l = \delta_k + C_{kl}^m - \frac{i}{2} C_{kl}^m E_l, [E_k, E_l] = -2i C_{kl}^m E_m$$

Where $\delta_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}Tr(E_k E_l)$, $S_{kl}^m = S_{lk}^m$, $C_{kl}^m = -C_{lk}^m$, C_{kl}^m - constants of structure, S_{kl}^m - invariant of group $sl(n)$ [10]. In space $\Omega_D^1(P)$ following basis is defined θ^k , $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n^2 - 1\}$, with properties $\theta^k(\partial_l) = \delta_l^k \mathbf{1}$, $\theta^k \theta^l = -\theta^l \theta^k$, $E_k \theta^l = \theta^l E_k$. So, differential calculation in $\Omega_D^1(P)$ is to usual external differentials:

$$dE_k = -C_{kl}^m E_m \theta^l, \quad d\theta^k = -\frac{1}{2} C_{kl}^m \theta^l \theta^m,$$

$$d(\theta^k \theta^j) = d\theta^k \theta^j - \theta^k d\theta^j, \quad d^2 = 0$$

Let's recollect, that the bundles of deformation gives us section being a field of deformation or generalized trajectory of deformation Il-iusin [5]. In conformity with noncommutative geometry Connes, vector bundle on noncommutative space of deformation P will be either left, or right module, in which a trivial bundle of deformation is the free module, and the nontrivial bundle represents factorization of the free module above space P . Actually it is the cotangent bundle $\Omega_D^1(P)$.

Now we shall construct action for process of noncommutative plastic deformation. According to procedure Connes, first of all we choose Hermite module H above the space of deformation P . It means, that on Hermite P -module H there is such Hermite structure h , that for all $\alpha, \beta \in H$, $h(\alpha, \beta) \in P$ and $h(\alpha A, \beta B) = A^* h(\alpha, \beta) B$ for all $A, B \in P$. Further it is necessary to choose connection ∇ , being transformation H on $H \otimes \Omega_D^1$, for which the following conditions are carried out $\otimes(\phi A) = (\otimes\phi)A + \phi \otimes dA$ $dh(\phi, \psi) = h(\nabla\phi, \psi) + h(\phi, \nabla\psi)$ for all $\phi, \psi \in H$, $A \in P$.

Connection ∇ is extended to linear maps of a rank 1 $D_v : H \otimes \Omega_D^1 \rightarrow H \otimes \Omega_D^1$ so that $D_v(\phi \otimes \alpha) = (\nabla\phi)\alpha + \phi \otimes d\alpha$ for all $\phi \in H$, $\alpha \in \Omega_D^1$. Thus, the curvature on ∇ is determined as maps $\nabla^2 : H \rightarrow H \otimes \Omega_D^2$, $\nabla^2(\phi B) = (\nabla^2\phi)B$, $\phi \in H$, $B \in P$. Then action of process of noncommutative plastic deformation on connection ∇ will be submitted in the form:

$$S = \|\nabla^2\|^2 = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle,$$

where φa -component connection on calibration with scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle = - \int \varphi(\varphi) = \text{Tr}[\varphi(\varphi)].$$

So, we have received distribution of probability of transition to plasticity

$$Z = \int \exp(-kS),$$

and k it is factor of elasticity of environment. Distribution Z depends from connection ∇ , determined on any calibration. With the purpose of reception of the obvious form Z let's consider the following example. First of all we shall choose calibration, being the unit in Hermite space H . Then we shall choose connection as $\nabla e = e \otimes \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \Omega_D^1$, $\alpha = -\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}$ - interface. The form α is a complex matrix in sense Muschelishvili. However in 3-dimension case, in the frameworks of symplectic geometry, it will have complex structure. Thus, each element $\phi \in H$ looks like $\phi = eB$, where $B \in P$. Then by definition we shall receive $\nabla \phi = (\nabla e)B + e \otimes dB$. In differential geometry B and α refer to as elements ϕ and ∇ on calibration e . A component φ of the curvature ∇^2 on calibration e is chosen as $\varphi = d\alpha + (\alpha)^2$, then in result we shall receive $\nabla^2 = e \otimes \varphi$. If we shall present the form α in a usual complex kind $\alpha = B_k \theta s^k + i E_l \theta^l$, $B, E \in P$, that after action of the operator d and α , after calculation α^2 and putting results in expression for φ we shall receive

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2}([B_k, B_l] - C_{kl}^m B_m) \theta^k \theta^l.$$

So, the action has the form

$$S = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{k,l} \text{Tr}\{([B_k, B_l] - C_{kl}^m B_m)^2\}$$

It is necessary to notice, that this integral on Hermite module H - it is a K - cycle Connes. However, Connes has not defined the concept of

” secondary calculation ”, therefore we shall calculate integral Z by a usual method.

3. In work [6] A.A. Iliusin has offered idea, according to which determining ratio for continuous initial isotropy environments in area of rather small deformations will be coordinated to a postulate isotropy: it is invariant concerning orthogonal transformations in E_5 . In work [14,15] it is described determining ratio even for final deformation. Nevertheless, within the framework of model of noncommutative plastic deformation in a formalism of potential of deformation this postulate would become the theorem, which it is possible to prove. Really in everyone cotangent bundle always there is symplectic structure [13,8]. As shown above, cotangent space to space of deformation $P = \{E_n(x, t)\}$ is the space $Der(P)$; cotangent space to space P is $\Omega_D^1(P)$. If Hamilton vector field in a point $A \in P$ is designated through $H(A)$, the symplectic structure will be the 2-form $\omega^2 \in \Omega_D^2(P)$ so, that for all $A \in P$ the equation $\omega^2(X, H(A)) = XA$, at all $X \in Der(P)$ has the unique solution $H(A) \in Der(P)$. If I is isomorfism : $\Omega_D^1(P) \rightarrow Der(P)$, the Hamilton vector field will look like IdH , and H is function Hamilton and the symplectic 2-form will be as $\omega^2 = dH$. We designate the symplectic variety as M^{2n^2}, ω^2 , then for each vector field $H(A)$ there is an one-parametrical group diffeomorphism $g^t : M^{2n^2} \rightarrow M^{2n^2}$, which is named as a phase flow Hamilton :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \big|_{t=0} g^t A = IdH(A)$$

It is necessary to notice, that the orthogonal transformation is a special case of diffeomorphism . So, it is possible to formulate a postulate of isotropy in a formalism of potential by the following way . A determining ratio is invariant concerning a flow Hamilton , or, as a special case, it is invariant concerning orthogonal transformations. In frameworks of commutative geometry in work [13] V.I. Arnold has rendered, that the Hamilton phase flow keeps the symplectic structure

$(g^t)^* \omega^2 = \omega^2$. In our noncommutative case also it is possible to use a method Arnold with only one difference, that the dimension of symplectic space above P is equal $2n^2$ and points of the spaces are matrixes. However, when the space P satisfies still to axioms of algebra Hoof, it is impossible to use above mentioned method because of unusual differential calculations such as Woronowicz . Further, by definition, we have $\omega^2 = dH = \sum \frac{\partial H}{\partial e_i} de_i$ and this form is invariant concerning a flow g^t . It means, that in a condition of additivity the quantity $\frac{\partial H}{\partial e_i}$ is also invariant concerning transformations g^t .

In work [11] in singular model determining ratio is submitted as:

$$d\sigma_i = \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial e_i} dk_l$$

where f_l - the current limiting surface. Here those dk_l are not zero, for which on the appropriate surface f_l the infringements are performed, i.e are made $(\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial e_i})d\sigma \geq 0$. Now, if we use the concept of potential H (free energy) [6,12], we shall receive

$$\sigma_i = \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial e_i}$$

In our model the plastic deformation is the fluctuation process, in which the free energy plays a role of the function Hamilton of system [5]. Really, determining ratio in a formalism of potential is invariant concerning orthogonal transformation.

4. Thus, general model of plastic deformation is following . The process of deformation Iliusin is given. For construction of the general theory it is necessary, first of all, to refuse from traditional performance of the elastic theory of field, within the framework of which the static processes with commutative quantities are described only. The space of deformation should be considered as noncommutative . Under action of any forces this space will proceed in cotangent bundle. When the action will achieve limiting value the status of deformation will be

in strong fluctuation . Then we receive distribution of probability of transition to plasticity. Besides thanking noncommutativity we shall receive the symplectic structure. Symplectic space, constructed above noncommutative space of deformation, it is more than traditional space of the classical theories. Only in this case it is possible to understand the essence of a postulate of isotropy Iliusin. And, may be, all other results of the classical theory can be received as special cases of this general model. One of such results is the essence of the sectors Koiter , about what will be informed in following clause.

- [1] M.Ausloos: Solid Stat. Comm., 1986, vol 59, No 6, p.401-404. 23,1986, p.2509-2512.
- [2] V.G.Gargin, Superfirm materials, 1982, No.2, c.17-20.
- [3] V.A.Pesin, N.N.Tkachenko, L.I. Fedchuk, JFCh , 1979, Volume 53 No.2,
- [4] Li-Shing Li, R.J.Pabst, Materia Sci., 1980, vol 15, no 10, p 2861
- [5] Trinh V.K. : kan. diss., MGU , 1993.
- [6] A.A. Iliusin , Mechanics of continuous environment , MGU 1990.
- [7] Connes A., Noncommutative differential geometry, Publ. I.H.E.S.62,257 (1986).
- [8] A.T. Fomenko, Simplectis geometry, MGU 1990.
- [9] Daboit-Violette M., Kerner R., Madore J., J.Math. Phys., 32 (2), 1990.
- [10] Madaran A.J., Comm. Math. Phys., 11,77 (1986).

- [11] V.D. Kiusnikov, Physical and mathematical bases of durability and Plasticity, MGU 1994.
- [12] YU.N. Rabotnov, Mechanics of a deformable body, M.:Sciens 1988.
- [13] V.I. Arnold , Mathematical methods of the classical mechanics, .Sciens 1989
- [14] Tsakmakis Ch., Arch. Mech., 1997,49, no 4, c 677-695.
- [15] YU.N. Sevchenko, Appl. Mech. (Kiev), 1999,35, no 1, c 14-27.